



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,154	04/14/2001	Vladimir N. Georgiev	11983.0080	3877
8791	7590	01/04/2005	EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			PHAM, TUAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2643	

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 09/835,154	Applicant(s) GEORGIEV, VLADIMIR N.	
	Examiner TUAN A PHAM	Art Unit 2643	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 October 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-9, 11-15, 17-21, 23 and 24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4, 10, 16, and 22 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |                                                                                         |                                                                             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)             | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                                |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____                                                             | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Response to Arguments*

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-15, 17-21, and 23-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raman et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 5,400,394, hereinafter, "Raman") in view of Ding (U.S. Patent No.: 6,788,785).

**Regarding claims 1, 7, 13, and 19**, Raman teaches a digital signal processing system comprising (see figure 3):

a near end and a far end connected by a pair of signal transmission paths (see figure 3, RX 202, TX 204),

a signal processor at the near end (right hand side of figure 3) through which signal commands are transmitted from the near end to the far end (left hand side of figure 3)(see figure 3, col.7, ln.19-33),

a signal transducing device (telephone) at the far end to receive the signal commands and to transmit to the near end signals indicating the state of the signal transducing device (see col.7, ln.19-32), and

a signal canceller operatively associated with the signal processor to subtract echo signals from the received signals (see col.7, ln.19-68).

It should be noticed that Raman fails to teach the technique for employing Auxiliary-Vector filtering at all times during operation of the signal canceller. However, Ding teaches such features (see figure 1, col.15, ln.5-49, echo canceller system without double talk) for a purpose of filtering the noise signals in communication system.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of technique for employing Auxiliary-Vector filtering at all times during operation of the signal canceller, as taught by Ding, into view of Raman in order to update and calculate the algorithm progresses in echo canceller system.

**Regarding claims 2, 8, 14, and 20**, Ding further teaches the system wherein the signal canceller contains an array of filter coefficients and wherein the Auxiliary Vector filtering and multiplies the signals by the array of filter coefficients and subtracts the result from the transmitted signal commands (see col.20, ln.1-19).

**Regarding claims 3, 9, 15, and 21**, Raman further teaches the system wherein the signal canceller is adaptive in being capable of changing the filter coefficients while receiving data from the transmitted signal commands and from the received signals (see col.6, ln.1-23).

**Regarding claims 5, 11, 17, and 23**, Raman further teaches the system wherein voice signals are processed (see col.5, ln.44-53).

**Regarding claims 6, 12, 18, and 24**, Raman further teaches the system wherein the signal processor is the digital signal processor of a voice mail system, and wherein the signal commands include voice mail prompts and the undesired signals include echo (see col.5, ln.28-53, appendix A).

***Allowable Subject Matter***

4. Claims 4, 10, 16, and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### **Conclusion**

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

**Any response to this final action should be mailed to:**

**Box AF**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231**

**or faxed to:**

**(703) 872-9314 (for formal communications; please mark  
"EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")**

**Or:**

**If it is an informal or draft communication, please label  
"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")**

**Customer Service (703) 306-0377**

**Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121**

**Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist)**

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Tuan A. Pham** whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2643

(703) 305-4987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM-5:00 PM.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (703) 305-4708 and

**IF PAPER HAS BEEN MISSED FROM THIS OFFICIAL ACTION PACKAGE, PLEASE CALL Customer Service at (703) 306-0377 FOR THE SUBSTITUTIONS OR COPIES.**

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2643  
December 28, 2004  
Examiner

Tuan Pham

  
**GEORGE ENG**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**